

## The Minimal Polynomial Theorem

**Lemma.** *Let  $E$  be an extension of a field  $F$  and  $a \in E$ . Suppose  $m(x), f(x) \in F[x]$ ,  $m(x)$  is irreducible over  $F$ ,  $m(a) = 0$ , and  $f(a) = 0$ . Then  $m(x)$  must divide  $f(x)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $m(x)$  does not divide  $f(x)$ , since  $m(x)$  is irreducible, the GCD of  $m(x)$  and  $f(x)$  must be 1, which implies that there exist polynomials  $g(x), h(x) \in F[x]$  such that

$$g(x)f(x) + h(x)m(x) = 1. \quad (1)$$

Plugging in  $x = a$ , we see that  $0 = 1$ ; contradiction. □

**Theorem** (The Minimal Polynomial Theorem). *Let  $E$  be an extension of a field  $F$ , let  $a \in E$  be algebraic over  $F$ , and suppose  $m(x) \in F[x]$  is monic.*

*Then the following are equivalent:*

1.  $m(x)$  is irreducible over  $F$  and  $m(a) = 0$ .
2.  $m(x)$  is a nonzero polynomial of smallest possible degree such that  $m(a) = 0$ .
3. For  $n = \deg m(x)$ ,  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  is a basis for  $F(a)$  as a vector space over  $F$  and  $m(a) = 0$ .
4.  $[F(a):F] = \deg m(x)$  and  $m(a) = 0$ .
5.  $F(a) \approx F[x]/\langle m(x) \rangle$  and  $m(a) = 0$ .

*Furthermore, if any (and therefore all) of the above conditions hold, then for any  $f(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $f(a) = 0$ , we have that  $m(x)$  divides  $f(x)$  in  $F[x]$ .*

If any (and therefore all) of the equivalent conditions in the Theorem hold, we call  $m(x)$  the *minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $F$* . Note that the requirement that  $m(x)$  be monic is just so we can call  $m(x)$  “the” minimal polynomial of  $a$ ; more generally, a polynomial has properties (1)–(5) if and only if it is a nonzero scalar multiple of the minimal polynomial.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose  $m(x)$  is irreducible over  $F$ ,  $f(x) \in F[x]$ ,  $\deg f(x) < \deg m(x)$ , and  $f(a) = 0$ . By the Lemma,  $m(x)$  divides  $f(x)$ , and since  $\deg f(x) < \deg m(x)$ , we must have  $f(x) = 0$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Suppose  $m(x) = x^n + c_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + c_0$ . Since  $m(a) = 0$ , we have that

$$a^n = -c_{n-1}a^{n-1} - \dots - c_0, \quad (2)$$

which means that any polynomial in  $a$  of degree  $\geq n$  can be reduced to a polynomial in  $a$  of degree  $< n$ . In other words, the set  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  spans  $F(a)$  as a vector space over  $F$ .

On the other hand, suppose that for some  $b_i \in F$ , we have that

$$b_{n-1}a^{n-1} + \dots + b_1a + b_0 = 0. \quad (3)$$

In that case,  $g(x) = b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + b_1x + b_0$  is a polynomial of degree strictly less than  $n$  such that  $g(a) = 0$ . However, since the smallest possible degree of a nonzero polynomial

that has  $a$  as a zero is  $\deg m(x) = n$ , we must have that  $g(x) = 0$ , or in other words, that each of the  $b_i = 0$ . It follows that  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  is linearly independent, and therefore, that  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  is a basis for  $F(a)$  as a vector space over  $F$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): By definition,  $[F(a):F]$  is the dimension of  $F(a)$  as a vector space over  $F$ , which is equal to the number of vectors in any basis.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): Suppose  $[F(a):F] = \deg m(x) = n$ , and let  $I$  be the principal ideal  $\langle m(x) \rangle$  of  $F[x]$ . Define a map  $\varphi : F[x]/I \rightarrow F(a)$  by the formula

$$\varphi(f(x) + I) = f(a). \quad (4)$$

Note that  $\varphi$  is well-defined because if  $g$  is another representative of the coset  $f(x) + I$ , we have that  $g(x) = f(x) + q(x)m(x)$  for some  $q(x) \in F[x]$ , which means that

$$g(a) = f(a) + q(a)m(a) = f(a). \quad (5)$$

Since substitution is a homomorphism, it also follows that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism.

So now suppose  $f(x) + I \in \ker \varphi$ , where  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is a polynomial of degree  $< n$ . In that case, since  $f(a) = 0$  and  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  is linearly independent, we must have that  $f(x) = 0$ , which means that  $\varphi$  is one-to-one. Furthermore, since  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$  spans  $F(a)$ ,  $\varphi$  is onto, and so  $F(a) \approx F[x]/\langle m(x) \rangle$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Since  $F(a)$  is a field and  $F(a) \approx F[x]/\langle m(x) \rangle$ ,  $m(x)$  must be irreducible.

Finally, suppose conditions (1)–(5) all hold. In that case, if  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and  $f(a) = 0$ , by the Lemma,  $m(x)$  must divide  $f(x)$ . The theorem follows.  $\square$