

Math 131B, Wed Dec 02

Colloquium 3pm today: Stephanie Salomone, "What I Believe"
Especially of interest to future teachers!

- ▶ Use a laptop or desktop with a large screen so you can read these words clearly.
- ▶ In general, please turn off your camera and mute yourself.
- ▶ Exception: When we do groupwork, please turn both your camera and mic on. (Groupwork will not be recorded.)
- ▶ Please always have the chat window open to ask questions.
- ▶ Reading for today: 12.4. **THE END**
- ▶ Outline for PS11 due tonight; full version due Mon Dec 07.
- ▶ Problem session, Fri Dec 04, 10:00am–noon on Zoom. **PS10**
- ▶ **FINAL EXAM, MON DEC 14, 9:45am–noon.**

611
4.8.6
xtra cred

Recap

Laplace transform
FT for \mathbb{C}

Definition

Schwartz

$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of all $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $k \geq 0$, the k th derivative $f^{(k)}(x)$ of f exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and is rapidly decaying.

Definition

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, define the **Fourier transform** of f to be the function $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \gamma x} dx$$

for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

$\gamma = \text{freq}$

Note that because we now assume $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, integral definitely converges.

Last time

week

$(f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}))$

- ▶ Convolution $f * g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-t)g(t) dt.$$

- ▶ Dirac kernel $K_t : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0$):

- ▶ For all $t > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $K_t(x) \geq 0$;

- ▶ For all $t > 0$, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_t(x) dx = 1$; and

- ▶ For fixed $\eta > 0$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|x| \geq \eta} K_t(x) dx = 0$.

} Prob Aist.

} Conc at 0

- ▶ Thm: $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (f * K_t)(x) = f(x)$.

- ▶ Example of a Dirac kernel: Gauss kernel

PS10, Prob 4.8.3

$$G_t(x) = \frac{1}{t} \exp\left(\frac{-\pi x^2}{t^2}\right).$$

Properties of Fourier transform

①
②
③

Function (in x)	Fourier transform (in γ)
$f(x + a)$	$e^{2\pi ia\gamma} \hat{f}(\gamma)$
$e^{2\pi iax} f(x)$	$\hat{f}(\gamma - a)$
$f(-x)$	$\hat{f}(-\gamma)$

In operator notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{U}(f) &= \hat{f} & (\underline{s_{-1}}(f))(x) &= f(-x) \\ (\underline{\tau_a}(f))(x) &= f(x + a) & (\underline{\mu_a}(f))(x) &= e^{2\pi iax} f(x) \end{aligned}$$

The above table says:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{①} \quad U(\tau_a(f)) &= \mu_a(U(f)) & \text{②} \quad U(\mu_a(f)) &= \tau_{-a}(U(f)) \\ \text{③} \quad U(s_{-1}(f)) &= s_{-1}(U(f)) \end{aligned}$$

I.e., $U\tau_a = \mu_a U$, $U\mu_a = \tau_{-a} U$, $Us_{-1} = s_{-1} U$.

Recall: How to write Fourier transform with frequency variable same as the variable you started with (time variable)

$$f(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\rightarrow \hat{f}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-2\pi i x y} dy$$

$$\text{Then } \mathcal{U}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\mathcal{U}(f) = \hat{f}$$

"Pass the hat" and the Gauss kernel

Theorem **Pass the Hat**

If $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x)g(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\hat{g}(x) dx$.

Theorem

PSIO

The Fourier transform of $f(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$ is $\hat{f}(\gamma) = e^{-\pi \gamma^2}$. In other words, f is its own Fourier transform, or $U(f) = f$.

More generally, for $t > 0$, let $G_t(x) = \frac{1}{t} \exp\left(\frac{-\pi x^2}{t^2}\right)$ be the Gauss kernel. Then

$$\hat{G}_t(\gamma) = e^{-\pi t^2 \gamma^2},$$

$$U(U(G_t)) = \hat{G}_t = G_t.$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi x^2} dx = 1$$

An ugly lemma

Lemma

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and constant $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $h_x(y) = f(-x - y)$. Then $\hat{\hat{f}}(x - y) = \hat{\hat{h}}_x(y)$, where the Fourier transform is calculated in the variable y .

For clarity, $\hat{\hat{h}}_x$ means $U(U(h_x))$.

Proof: First get $h_x(y)$ by applying operators to $f(y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_x(y) &= f(-x - y) = f(-(y + x)) \\ &= (S_{-1}(f))(y + x) = \tau_x(S_{-1}(f))(y) \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{So} \\ h_x \\ \tau_x S_{-1} f \end{array} \right\}$$

Then get $\hat{\hat{f}}(x - y)$ by applying operators to $f(y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\hat{f}}(x - y) &= (U(U(f)))(x - y) = (U(U(f)))(-(y - x)) \\ &= (S_{-1}(U(U(f))))(y - x) = (\tau_{-1} S_{-1} U(U(f)))(y) \end{aligned}$$

Then previous operator facts give:

$$\begin{aligned} & U U(h_x) \\ &= U U \tau_x S_{-1}(f) \\ &= U U \tau_x U S_{-1}(f) \\ &= \tau_{-x} U U S_{-1}(f) \\ &= \tau_{-x} S_{-1} U U(f), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_x &= \tau_x S_{-1} f \\ \text{other!} \\ & \tau_{-x} S_{-1} U U f \end{aligned}$$



the fn w/for mola
is $\hat{f}(x-y)$.

Inversion Theorem in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

Theorem

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, we have that

$$\hat{f}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\gamma) e^{-2\pi i \gamma x} d\gamma = f(-x).$$

$$(S_{-1} f)(x)$$

||

(1)

$$\text{WTS } \hat{\hat{f}} = S_{-1} f$$

Substituting $-x$ for x , we get:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\gamma) e^{2\pi i \gamma x} d\gamma = f(x)$$

inverse FT

Proof of inversion

Define

$$g(x) = f(-x) = (s_{-1}(f))(x)$$

$$h_x(y) = f(-x - y) = g(x + y)$$

$g = s_{-1}f$
 WTs
 \uparrow
 $f = g$

Then for any fixed $t > 0$, we have

$$(\hat{f} * G_t)(x) =$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x-y) G_t(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{h}_x(y) G_t(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_x(y) \hat{G}_t(y) dy$$

UL

(Pass)
h_{xt}

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x+y) G_t(y) dy \quad \checkmark \hat{G}_t = G_t$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-u) G_t(-u) (-du) \quad u = -y$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-u) G_t(u) du \quad \downarrow G_t \text{ even fn}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x-y) G_t(y) dy$$

$$= (g * G_t)(x)$$

$$\text{So } \hat{f} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \hat{f} * \hat{G}_t = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g * G_t = g$$



Isomorphism Theorem in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$$

Theorem

For $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, we have that $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle$. In particular,

$$\|f\| = \|\hat{f}\|.$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$$

Compare isomorphism theorem for $L^2(S^1)$:

Theorem

For $f, g \in L^2(S^1)$, we have that

$$\int_0^1 f \overline{g} dx = \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n) \overline{\hat{g}(n)}.$$

∞ dot prod!

If we define $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ to be the space of all two-sided $a(n)$ such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a(n)|^2 < \infty, \text{ then above RHS is } \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle.$$