

Math 128B, Mon Mar 01

- ▶ Use a laptop or desktop with a large screen so you can read these words clearly.
- ▶ In general, please turn off your camera and mute yourself.
- ▶ Exception: When we do groupwork, please turn both your camera and mic on. (Groupwork will not be recorded.)
- ▶ Please always have the chat window open to ask questions.
- ▶ Reading for today and Wed: Ch. 17.
- ▶ PS04 outline due Wed, full version due Mon Mar 08.
- ▶ Problem session Fri Mar 05, 10am–noon.

Recall: Division with remainder in $F[x]$

Theorem

Let F be a field, and let $a(x)$ and $d(x)$ be polynomials in $F[x]$ with $d(x) \neq 0$. There exist unique $q(x), r(x) \in F[x]$ such that

$$a(x) = d(x)q(x) + r(x),$$

$$\text{with } \deg(r(x)) < \deg(d(x)).$$

Ex.

$$F = \mathbb{Z}_5$$

$$a(x) = x^2 + 2x + 4$$

$$d(x) = x + 2$$

$$a(x) = x d(x) + 4$$

$$q(x) = x$$
$$r(x) = 4$$

$F[x]$ is a PID

Definition

A **principal ideal domain** is an integral domain R in which every ideal has the form $\langle a \rangle = \{ra \mid r \in R\}$ for some $a \in R$.

Non-example: $\langle x, 2 \rangle$ in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ can't be generated by a single element.

Theorem



all polynomials with even constant term

If F is a field, then $F[x]$ is a PID.

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(0) &= -\infty \\ \deg(\text{non-0 const}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Pf

S'pose A is an ideal of $F[x]$.

If $A = \{0\}$, then $A = \langle 0 \rangle$, done.

So assume A contains nonzero elements.

Let $d(x)$ be a nonzero element of A with smallest possible degree.

WTS: $A = \langle d(x) \rangle = \{q(x)d(x) \mid q(x) \text{ in } F[x]\}$.

We know $\langle d(x) \rangle$ contained in A , so enuf to show A contained in $\langle d(x) \rangle$.

$$\text{① } a(x) \in A$$

$$\text{Why div? } a(x) = q(x)d(x) + r(x)$$

for some $q, r \in F[x]$, $\deg r < \deg d$

$$\text{So } r(x) = a(x) - q(x)d(x) \in A$$

But d is

non-0 elt of A w/ min deg, $\deg r < \deg d$.

$$\text{So } r(x) = 0.$$

$$\textcircled{C} a(x) = q(x)d(x) \text{ for some}$$

$$\textcircled{C} a(x) \in \langle d(x) \rangle \quad (q \in F[x])$$

Corollary

F a field, I a nonzero ideal of $F[x]$, $g(x) \in I$.

Then $I = \langle g(x) \rangle$ exactly when $g(x)$ is a nonzero polynomial of smallest possible degree in I .



Factoring in $D[x]$

D an integral domain.

Definition

$f(x) \neq 0$, f not a unit. **in $D[x]$.**

- ▶ f is **reducible** over D if $f(x) = a(x)b(x)$ and neither of $a, b \in D[x]$ is a unit.
- ▶ Otherwise f is **irreducible**, i.e., whenever $f(x) = a(x)b(x)$, then one of $a, b \in D[x]$ is a unit.

like let n
of primes in
 \mathbb{Z} .

Ex. $x^2 + 1$ irred over \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}
" red over \mathbb{C} :

$$x^2+1 = (x-i)(x+i)$$

x^2+1 irred over \mathbb{Z}_3

$x^2+1 = (x+1)^2$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 red.

(Over \mathbb{Z}_2 : $(x+1)^2 = x^2+2x+1$
 $= x^2+1$.)

$2x^2+2$ red over \mathbb{Z} : $(2x^2+2) = (x^2+1)(2)$

$2x^2+2$ irred over \mathbb{Q} (2 unit in \mathbb{Q})

Note: By definition, factorization in $Z[x]$ contains factorization in Z as a subcase. So factorization in $Z[x]$ is strictly more complicated than factorization in $Q[x]$.

Fact: Factorization in $Z[x]$ is (more or less)

factorization in $Q[x]$ + factorization in Z

Why do we care about factorization?

Meta-principle: As it goes in \mathbf{Z} , so it goes in $F[x]$.

$$\mathbf{Z}/\langle p \rangle \text{ field} \Leftrightarrow p \text{ prime}$$

- ▶ **Fact:** $F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$ is a field if and only if $p(x)$ is irreducible. (This follows from long division, but we'll prove that later.)
- ▶ So to construct interesting examples of fields, we need to be able to test if $p(x) \in F[x]$ is irreducible, especially for $F = \mathbf{Q}$ and $F = \mathbf{Z}_p$.
- ▶ Turns out that the most common irreducibility techniques are based on factoring $f(x)$ over \mathbf{Z} . Fortunately, turns out that reducibility over \mathbf{Q} is equivalent to reducibility over \mathbf{Z} (!!).

basically

over
F

Main case of interest: Given $f(x)$ in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, figure out if $f(x)$ is reducible or irreducible over \mathbf{Q} .

Degrees 2 and 3

Theorem

F a field, $f \in F[x]$, $\deg f = 2$ or 3 . Then TFAE:

1. f is reducible.
2. f has a zero in F .

Proof:

$\alpha \in F$

(2) \Rightarrow (1): If $f(\alpha) = 0$ then $(x - \alpha) \text{ div. } f$
(factor thm).

(1) \Rightarrow (2) If $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$, g, h not units
So $\deg g, \deg h > 0$, so one has $\deg 1$:
 $2 = 1 + 1$; $3 = 1 + 2 = 2 + 1$.

So if $g(x) = ax + b$ $a \neq 0$

$$g(x) = a\left(x + \frac{b}{a}\right) \leftarrow F \text{ field}$$

$$\text{So } f\left(-\frac{b}{a}\right) = g\left(-\frac{b}{a}\right)h\left(-\frac{b}{a}\right)$$

$$= 0 \cdot h\left(-\frac{b}{a}\right) = 0.$$



Ex. $F = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$
 $f(x) = x^3 + x + 1$ $f(0) = 1$ $f(1) = 1$ f irred.

Ex. $g(x) = x^4 + x^2 + 1$
 $g(0) = 1, g(1) = 1$
But $g(x) = (x^2 + x + 1)^2$ } deg 4
FAIL

$F = \mathbb{Z}_3$: $g(x) = x^4 + x^2 + 1$
 $g(1) = 0$, so $(x-1) \text{ div } g$.

Gauss' Lemma

Definition

Content of

$$\text{Cont}(10x^2 + 6x + 15) = 1$$
$$\text{Cont}(4x^2 + 6x - 30) = 2$$

$$a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$$

is $\gcd(a_n, \dots, a_1, a_0)$. $f \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ **primitive** means content of f is 1.

Gauss' Lemma: Product of primitive polynomials is primitive.

Proof: Suppose $f, g \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$, f, g primitive, $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$.

Suppose prime p divides \gcd of coefficients of $h(x)$.

ABC $\bar{h}(x) = h(x)$, coeffs reduced (mod p)

$\Rightarrow \bar{h}(x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.

But $\bar{h}(x) = \bar{f}(x)\bar{g}(x)$ (mod p homom.)

And $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ is an int. dom

So either $\overline{f}(x) = 0$ or $\overline{g}(x) = 0$
in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$

$\Rightarrow p \mid \text{cont}(f)$ or $\text{cont}(g)$

Contra: Assumed

$$\text{cont}(f) = 1 = \text{cont}(g).$$

Reducible over \mathbf{Q} implies reducible over \mathbf{Z}

Suppose $f \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$. If f reducible over \mathbf{Z} , reducible over \mathbf{Q} *a fortiori*. Conversely:

Theorem

If $f \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ reducible over \mathbf{Q} , reducible over \mathbf{Z} .

Proof: WLOG f primitive. Suppose $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$, $g, h \in \mathbf{Q}[x]$.
Clear denominators of g and h : $abf(x) = (ag(x))(bh(x))$.

Tests for proving irreducibility over \mathbf{Z}

Suppose $f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$, p prime.

Theorem (Mod p irreducibility test)

Let $\bar{f}(x)$ be $f(x)$ with coefficients reduced (mod p). If $\bar{f}(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbf{Z}_p , then $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbf{Z} (and therefore, over \mathbf{Q}).

Theorem (Eisenstein criterion)

If p divides a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 , p doesn't divide a_n , and p^2 doesn't divide a_0 , then f irreducible over \mathbf{Z} (and therefore, over \mathbf{Q}).

Examples

Proofs of irreducibility tests

The p th cyclotomic polynomial is irreducible

Define p th cyclotomic polynomial to be:

$$\Phi_p(x) = \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} = x^{p-1} + \dots + x + 1.$$

Theorem

$\Phi_p(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbf{Q} .

Proof: Consider

$$f(x) = \Phi_p(x + 1) =$$