

# Welcome back

**We are all in this together and we will get through this together.**

- ▶ Use a laptop or desktop with a large screen so you can read these words clearly.
- ▶ To conserve bandwidth, please turn off your camera.
- ▶ Please mute your microphone unless I call on you.
- ▶ Please have the chat window open to ask questions.
- ▶ Reading for today: 7.5–7.6; for Wed: 7.7.
- ▶ PS08: Outline due Wed, full version due Mon. (But deadlines are elastic.)  
**All plans are written in sand!!!**
- ▶ Exam 2 (tentatively) on Wed Apr 22; done on paper, submitted by Canvas, proctored by Zoom.
- ▶ For Wed: Try to get some kind of camera (even phone) where I can see you all at the same time on Zoom.

## Last: Principal ideal domains

### Definition

To say that a ring  $R$  is a **principal ideal domain**, or **PID**, means that  $R$  is an integral domain (has the Zero Factor Property) and that every ideal of  $R$  is principal. I.e., second condition says that if  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ , then  $I = (a)$  (the set of all  $R$ -multiples of  $a$ ) for some  $a \in I$ .

defn of principal ideal

### Theorem

If  $R = \mathbf{Z}$  or  $R = F[x]$ , then  $R$  is a PID.

So any ideal of  $R$  is the set of all  $R$ -multiples of some fixed element of  $R$ .

# Minimal polynomials

So  $I$  is set of all polynomial multiples of  $d(x)$ .

## Definition

Let  $F$  be a field, and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $F[x]$ . To say that  $d(x)$  is the **minimal polynomial** of  $I$  means that  $I = (d(x))$ . (Exists  $b/c \in F[x]$  PID; turns out to be unique up to associates.)

How compute minimal polynomial? Depends, but in one particular case:

So  $I$  is set of all polynomial lin combs of  $a, b$ :  $\{r(x)a(x)+s(x)b(x)\}$

## Theorem

Let  $F$  be a field, and consider the ideal  $I = (a(x), b(x))$  of  $F[x]$ , where  $a(x)$  and  $b(x)$  are nonzero polynomials in  $F[x]$ . Then the minimal polynomial of  $I$  is  $\gcd(a(x), b(x))$ . computed by? yup Euclid Alg

**Proof.** Let  $d(x) = \gcd(a(x), b(x))$ . WTS  $(d(x)) = (a(x), b(x))$ .

First: WTS  $(a(x), b(x)) \subseteq (d(x))$ .

To prove that two sets  $A$  and  $B$  are equal, prove  $A$  subset of  $B$  and  $B$  is a subset of  $A$ .

$A$ :  $f(x)$  in  $(a(x), b(x))$ , the set of all  $F[x]$ -linear combs of  $a(x), b(x)$

To prove that  $A$  subset of  $B$ , consider an arbitrary element of  $A$  and prove that it must be also an element of  $B$ .

So  $f(x) = r(x)a(x) + s(x)b(x)$  ( $r, s \in F[x]$ )

$d(x) = \gcd(a(x), b(x))$ , so divides both.

So  $a(x) = g(x)d(x)$  and  $b(x) = h(x)d(x)$  for some  $g(x), h(x)$  in  $F[x]$ , which means:

$$f(x) = r(x)g(x)d(x) + s(x)h(x)d(x) = \underbrace{(r(x)g(x) + s(x)h(x))}_{p(x)} d(x).$$

So  $f(x) = p(x)d(x)$  for some  $p \in F[x]$

C:  $f(x)$  in  $(d(x))$ , the set of all  $F[x]$ -multiples of  $d(x)$ .



Converse:  $WTS (d(x)) \subseteq (a(x), b(x))$ .

just rewriting, not inverse

A:  $f(x)$  in  $(d(x))$ , the set of all  $F[x]$ -multiples of  $d(x)$

So  $f(x) = q(x)d(x)$  for some  $q(x)$  in  $F[x]$ .

From Euclidean rewriting, we know that  $d(x) = g(x)a(x) + h(x)b(x)$  for some  $g(x), h(x)$  in  $F[x]$ . (Bezout/Euclidean Rewriting for polynomials.)

So  $f(x) = q(x) ( g(x)a(x) + h(x)b(x) ) = (q(x)g(x)) a(x) + (q(x)h(x)) b(x)$ .

So  $f(x) = r(x)a(x) + s(x)b(x)$  for some  $r(x), s(x)$  in  $F[x]$ .

C:  $f(x)$  in  $(a(x), b(x))$ , the set of all  $F[x]$ -linear combs of  $a(x), b(x)$

If the above seems mysterious, go do the problem from 7.1 where you prove that for a ring  $R$  and  $a, b$  in  $R$ , the set  $(a, b) = \{ra+sb \mid r, s \text{ in } R\}$  (set of  $R$ -linear combs of  $a, b$ ) is an ideal of  $R$ .



# Factorization

For the theory-inclined, proving unique factorization in  $\mathbf{Z}$  or  $F[x]$  now follows from:

## Theorem

*If  $R$  is a PID, then unique factorization holds in  $R$ .*

Proof is outside our scope, but that's the key point if you're interested.

## 7.5: Homomorphisms

And now for something completely different.....

Pretty abstract! But turns out to be surprisingly important for building better codes (codes with larger minimum distances).

### Definition

"phi"  $\varphi$   $\phi$

Let  $R$  and  $R'$  be rings. To say that a function  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$  is a **homomorphism** means that for all  $r, s \in R$ ,

$$\varphi(r + s) = \varphi(r) + \varphi(s), \quad \varphi(rs) = \varphi(r)\varphi(s).$$

In other words, a homomorphism is a function between rings that preserves addition and multiplication.

## Example: Quotient rings

Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ .

Define  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R/I$  by  $(R/I \text{ is the set of all (additive) cosets of } I \text{ in } R)$

$$\varphi(r) = r + I$$

for all  $r \in R$ .

The two conditions of the definition of homomorphism become

$$\varphi(r+s) = \varphi(r) + \varphi(s) \quad \varphi(rs) = \varphi(r)\varphi(s)$$
$$(r+s) + I = (r+I) + (s+I) \quad (rs) + I = (r+I)(s+I),$$

(these are exactly the defn of  $+$  and  $*$  in  $R/I$ )

which hold by defn of  $R/I$ . (In fact, defn really chosen to make  $\varphi$  a homomorphism.)

$\varphi$  is **canonical homomorphism** from  $R$  to  $R/I$ .

Ex of example:  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(7)$ , so  $\varphi$  squishes together  $0, 7, 14, 21, 28, \dots$



## Example: Substitution

$$F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}; \mathbb{F}_p$$

$F$  a field,  $\alpha \in F$ . Define  $\varphi : F[x] \rightarrow F$  by

(inputs polynomials, outputs elements of  $F$ )

$$\varphi(f(x)) = f(\alpha)$$

for all  $f(x) \in F[x]$ . Turns out  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism, i.e. ~~e.g.:~~

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f(x) + g(x)) &= \varphi((f+g)(x)) && \text{result of adding polynomials} \\ &= (f+g)(\alpha) && \text{then plug in alpha} \end{aligned}$$

First property  
of homomorphisms  
says these are  
equal

$$\varphi(f(x)) + \varphi(g(x)) = f(\alpha) + g(\alpha)$$

substitute alpha first, then add results

(and similarly for multiplication)

i.e., doesn't matter if you substitute before or after adding/multiplying.

Notation makes this look natural because  $f, g$  written as functions.

# First properties of homomorphisms


## Theorem

Let  $R$  and  $R'$  be rings, with additive identity elements  $0$  and  $0'$ , and let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$  be a homomorphism. Then:

(a)  $\varphi(0) = 0'$ . Homomorphisms preserve zero element

(b) For all  $r \in R$ ,  $\varphi(-r) = -\varphi(r)$ . Homomorphism send negatives to negatives.  $\rightarrow$  diffn homom

**Proof of (b).**

A1.  $r \in R, \varphi$  homom. Then  
 $\varphi(r) + \varphi(-r) = \varphi(r + (-r)) = \varphi(0) = 0'$   
Subtr  $\varphi(r)$ :  $\varphi(-r) = 0' - \varphi(r) = -\varphi(r)$ .  
C.  $\varphi(-r) = -\varphi(r)$  

## Theorem

Let  $R, R'$ , and  $R''$  be rings, and let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$  and  $\rho : R' \rightarrow R''$  be homomorphisms. Then  $(\rho \circ \varphi) : R \rightarrow R''$  is a homomorphism. <sup>"rho"</sup>

**Proof:** PS09.

# Isomorphisms

## Definition

An **isomorphism** is a bijective (one-to-one and onto) homomorphism. To say that rings  $R$  and  $R'$  are **isomorphic** means that there exists some isomorphism  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$ .

If an isomorphism  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$  exists, then because  $\varphi$  is a bijective correspondence and preserves the ring operations,  $R$  and  $R'$  are fundamentally the same algebraic object, just with different names for their elements. Conversely, if  $R$  and  $R'$  have different algebraic properties, they can't be isomorphic.

E.g., if you take  $\mathbb{Z}/(3) = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and rename elements  $\{\text{Moe}, \text{Larry}, \text{Curly}\}$  but preserve all of algebraic operations (e.g.,  $\text{Larry} + \text{Curly} = \text{Moe}$ ), then you haven't really made a new ring; you're just using different names for the old ring. So we say  $\{\text{Moe}, \text{Larry}, \text{Curly}\}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/(3)$ .

# Properties preserved by isomorphisms

If rings  $R$  and  $R'$  are isomorphic, then:

- ▶  $R$  and  $R'$  have the same number of elements.
- ▶  $R$  and  $R'$  have the same number of units.
- ▶  $R$  is an integral domain if and only if  $R'$  is an integral domain.
- ▶  $R$  is a field if and only if  $R'$  is a field.
- ▶  $R$  is a PID if and only if  $R'$  is a PID.

In fact, if I'm not being fussy, I just say that  $R$  and  $R'$  are “the same ring”.

## Example

$$\mathbb{F}_2(\alpha)$$

$$\mathbb{F}_2(\beta)$$

Let  $R_1 = \mathbf{F}_2[x]/(x^2 + 1)$ ,  $R_2 = \mathbf{F}_2[x]/(x^2 + x + 1)$ ,  $R_3 = \mathbf{Z}/(4)$ .  
 Are these rings isomorphic? Look for abstractly stated properties that distinguish them to prove not.

Since  $R_1 = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha + 1\}$

$R_2 = \{0, 1, \beta, \beta + 1\}$

$R_3 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$

All three rings have same number of elements, so could be isomorphic so far....

But it turns out that these three rings are pairwise \*not\* isomorphic, i.e., all three of them are different. We show this by finding abstract properties that distinguish the three rings. Example:

In  $R_1$ ,  $\alpha^2 + 1 = 0$ , so

$(\alpha + 1)^2 = \alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1 = \alpha^2 + 1 = 0 \pmod{\alpha^2 + 1}$

*still mod?*

In  $R_3$ ,  $2 \cdot 2 = 0$ .

$R_1$  and  $R_3$  have zero divisors!

But  $x^2 + x + 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbf{F}_2$ , so  $R_2 = \mathbf{F}_2[x]/(x^2 + x + 1)$  is field.  
 So  $R_2$  is not isom to  $R_1$  or  $R_3$ . Can also show that  $R_1, R_3$  not isom.

# Automorphisms

## Definition

An **automorphism** is an isomorphism  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R$  from a ring to itself.

Example: For  $R = \mathbf{C}$  (complex numbers), define  $\varphi : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  by

$$\varphi(a + bi) = a - bi \quad (1)$$

for  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism (check) and  $\varphi^{-1} = \varphi$ , so  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism, and therefore, an automorphism of  $\mathbf{C}$ .

# Induced automorphism on polynomials

**Example:**  $R$  a ring,  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R$  be an automorphism of  $R$ . Define a map  $\Phi : R[x] \rightarrow R[x]$  by saying for  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 \in R[x]$ ,

$$(\Phi(f))(x) = \varphi(a_n)x^n + \cdots + \varphi(a_1)x + \varphi(a_0). \quad (2)$$

I.e., we get  $(\Phi(f))(x)$  by applying  $\varphi$  to the *coefficients* of  $f(x)$ . Then  $\Phi$  is an automorphism of  $R[x]$ , called the **automorphism of  $R[x]$  induced by  $\varphi$** .

# Induced automorphism and roots of a polynomial

## Theorem

Let  $R$  be a ring, let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R$  be an automorphism of  $R$ , and let  $\Phi : R[x] \rightarrow R[x]$  be the corresponding induced automorphism.

Then for  $f(x) \in R[x]$  and  $\alpha \in R$ , if  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $(\Phi(f))(\varphi(\alpha)) = 0$ .

I.e., an induced automorphism sends roots to roots.

**Example:** Suppose  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$  is a polynomial with **real** coefficients, and suppose  $\alpha$  is a complex root of  $f(x)$ .



## 7.6: Finite fields, first defns

Today: Try to introduce enough definitions to state the main facts about finite fields.

### Definition

The **order** of a field  $F$  is defined to be the number of elements in  $F$ ; a **finite field** is therefore the same as a field of finite order.

### Definition

Let  $R$  be a ring. Since  $R$  has a multiplicative identity  $1$ , for a positive integer  $n$ , we can abbreviate

$$n \cdot 1 = \underbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}_{n \text{ times}}. \quad (3)$$

Then define  $\text{char}(R)$ , the **characteristic** of  $R$ , by:

- ▶ If  $n \cdot 1 = 0$  for some positive integer  $n$ , then  $\text{char}(R)$  is smallest positive  $n$  such that  $n \cdot 1 = 0$ .
- ▶ If  $n \cdot 1 \neq 0$  for all positive integers  $n$ , then  $\text{char}(R) = 0$ .

# Finite fields: Multiplicative group

## Definition

Let  $F$  be a field. We use  $F^\times$  to denote the set of all nonzero elements of  $F$ , all of which are units (since  $F$  is a field). We therefore call  $F^\times$  the **multiplicative group** of  $F$ .

Note the new (and not yet defined) term **group** here.

## Definition

Let  $F^\times$  be the multiplicative group of the field  $F$ , and suppose  $\alpha \in F^\times$ . To say that  $F^\times$  is **cyclic** means that there exists some  $\alpha \in F^\times$  such that every element of  $F^\times$  is some power of  $\alpha$ , in which case we say that  $\alpha$  is a **primitive** element of  $F$ .

(We saw primitive elements back when we first introduced  $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$ .)

# Five Facts For Finite Fields

1. The characteristic of a finite field must be a prime  $p$ , and its order must be  $q = p^e$  for some  $e \geq 1$ .
2. Every finite field has a primitive element.
3. If  $F$  is a field of order  $q$ , then every  $\alpha \in F$  is a root of  $x^q - x$ , or in other words,  $\alpha^q = \alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in F$ . Consequently,  $x^q - x$  factors as the product of all  $(x - \beta)$ , where  $\beta$  runs over all elements of  $F$  (including  $0 \in F$ ).
4. Every finite field of characteristic  $p$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{F}_p[x]/(m(x))$  for some irreducible polynomial  $m(x)$ .
5. For any prime  $p$  and  $q = p^e$  ( $e \geq 1$ ), there exists a field  $\mathbf{F}_q$  of order  $q$  that is unique up to isomorphism.