

Math 127, Mon Feb 07

- ▶ Use a laptop or desktop with a large screen so you can read these words clearly.
- ▶ In general, you may turn off your camera and mute yourself.
- ▶ Exception: When we do groupwork, please turn both your camera and mic on. (Groupwork will not be recorded.)
- ▶ Please always have the chat window open to ask questions.
- ▶ **IN-PERSON CLASSES START MON FEB 14!!!!**
- ▶ Reading for today: 3.1.
- ▶ Reading for Wed: 3.2–3.3.
- ▶ PS01 due today; PS02 outline due Wed, full version due Mon Feb 14.
- ▶ Next problem session Fri Feb 11, 10:00–noon on Zoom.
- ▶ **Exam 1** in 2 weeks from today.
- ▶ Potential new asst prof interviewing today! Algebra talk 3pm today — see email for link.

2.2.2 (c) Naive alg. # divs $\leq 2\sqrt{n}$

Want # divs ~~$\leq C\sqrt{n}$~~

$$\Rightarrow C\sqrt{a} \leq$$

ind of a

$n = \max$
sized of
 a, b

2.1.1 (a)

Let $k = \dots$

(c) $n = k(f, d)$ for some k

Recap of complexity

The **complexity** of an algorithm is the (estimated) time or space that an algorithm needs to finish, given an input of size n .

This is often expressed in **big O notation**. That is, we say that a given algorithm finishes in $O(f(n))$ time, meaning that there exists some constant C such that the number of steps the algorithm takes is $\leq Cf(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

The Euclidean Algorithm is exponentially faster

Theorem

Let a , b , and n be nonzero integers with $|a| \geq |b|$ and $|b| \leq n$. Using the Signed Euclidean Algorithm to compute $\gcd(a, b)$ finishes in $O(\log n)$ time, or more precisely, requires $O(\log n)$ division-with-remainder steps to finish.

Idea of proof: Look back at the Signed Euclidean Algorithm.

In each step, we have

$$|r_i| \leq \frac{|r_{i-1}|}{2}$$

So, with each step, the size of the remainder reduces by half.

So ~~k~~ steps allows you to reduce an initial remainder of size $\leq 2^k$ to 1.

So # of steps required to finish is

(starting with remainder of size n) is $\log_2(n) = O(\log n)$.



Then: Regular EA takes at most 2 x as many steps as Signed EA, so still $O(\log n)$.

$$\gcd(21, 13)$$

$$21 = 1(13) + 8$$

$$13 = 1(8) + 5$$

$$8 = 1(5) + 3$$

$$5 = 1(3) + 2$$

$$3 = 1(2) + 1$$

Take quotients, round DOWN.

Take quotients, round OFF.

$$21 = 2(13) - 5$$

$$13 = 3(5) - 2$$

$$5 = 2(2) + 1$$

$$= 3(2) - 1$$

$$\text{need } r \leq \frac{3}{2} = 1$$

Another example of a complexity estimate

The traditional Christmas carol “The 12 Days of Christmas” has the following structure: On day 1, the singer gets one gift of type 1 (a partridge in a pear tree) from their true love; on day 2, the singer gets two gifts of type 2 and one gift of type 1 (two turtledoves and a partridge in a pear tree); and so on.

Suppose this song can be extended to any arbitrary number of days. Give a big-O estimate of the *number* of gifts the singer receives on day n .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{day 1: } & 1 \\ \text{day 2: } & 1 + 2 \\ \text{day 3: } & 1 + 2 + 3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{day } n: & \overbrace{1 + 2 + \dots + n} \\ & = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \\ & = O(n^2 + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

So # gifts rec'd day n
is $O(n^2)$.

HW: Similar estimates for matrix multiplication and polynomial multiplication.

How do you solve algebraic problems?

Start: Look at small examples, then bigger examples
Find a pattern.

And now for something completely different

Recall that the **ring** in which we work is the set of “numbers” we’re allowed to use in computations, proofs, etc.

How do we change the ring we work in? I.e., how do we define a **new** ring? To define a ring R :

- ▶ *Choose a set:* First, choose a set R of objects that will be the “numbers” of your ring.
- ▶ *Define addition:* Next, define how to add two elements of R .
- ▶ *Define multiplication:* Finally, define how to multiply two elements of R .

Reduction mod m

" $\mathbf{Z} \bmod m$ "

We'll be defining a new ring $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$, but first, a pre-definition:

Definition

Let m be a positive integer. For any integer k , k **reduced (mod m)** is the remainder you get when you divide k by m . I.e., if

$$k = qm + r \quad \text{with } 0 \leq r < m,$$

then k reduced (mod m) is r .

Example: $m = 11$

$$21 \pmod{11} = 10$$

$$73 \pmod{11} = 7 \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} 73 \\ = 6(11) + 7 \end{array} \right)$$

$\mathbf{Z}/(m)$, the integers mod m

Let m be a positive integer. We define the ring $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$, or the **integers (mod m)**, as follows.

- ▶ The underlying set of $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$ is $\{0, \dots, m - 1\}$.
- ▶ For $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}/(m)$, we define $a + b$ to be the ordinary integer sum of a and b , reduced mod m .
- ▶ Similarly, for $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}/(m)$, we define the product ab to be the ordinary integer product of a and b , reduced mod m .

When we work in $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$, we refer to m as the **modulus** of our ring.

Example: Addition and multiplication tables in $\mathbf{Z}/(5)$

(Try this in pairs/threes)

\mp	0	1	2	3	4
0					
1					
2					
3					
4					

\checkmark	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	0	2	4	1	3
3	0	3	1	4	2
4	0	4	3	2	1

How to be more flexible

For $m = 3$ (as an example), since $-1 = 2 \pmod{3}$, it sometimes helps to write the elements of $\mathbf{Z}/(3)$ as $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ instead of $\{0, 1, 2\}$.

More generally:

Congruent Substitution Principle: *If we are working in the ring $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$, we can always replace any integer a with any integer b congruent to $a \pmod{m}$.*

Even more generally:

The $m = 0$ Principle: *Arithmetic in $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$ is like regular arithmetic, except that we declare that $m = 0$, and accept all of the relations that follow as a consequence (such as the Congruent Substitution Principle).*

Example: Fractions in $\mathbf{Z}/(7)$

In $\mathbf{Z}/(7) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, what is the reciprocal of each element?

Next: We will invert $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{7}$.

Experiment 1: Primitive elements

Defn: To say that $a \in \mathbf{Z}/(m)$ is **primitive** means that every nonzero element of $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$ is a power of a .

- ▶ Is 2 primitive in $\mathbf{Z}/(5)$?

- ▶ Is 2 primitive in $\mathbf{Z}/(7)$?

- ▶ Is 2 primitive in $\mathbf{Z}/(11)$?

Experiment 2: Quadratic residues

Defn: To say that $a \in \mathbf{Z}/(m)$ is a **quadratic residue** means that $a \neq 0$ and a is a square in $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$, i.e., $x^2 = a$ has a solution in $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$.

Here we use a weird method for solving $x^2 = a$: Because there are only finitely many values of $x \in \mathbf{Z}/(m)$, we can just try all possible x .

▶ Quadratic residues in $\mathbf{Z}/(5)$:

▶ Quadratic residues in $\mathbf{Z}/(7)$:

The point of the last few problems in PS02: Experiment!

Try a bunch of examples and see if you find any patterns!

(And yes, the other point is for you to get better at computation in $\mathbf{Z}/(m)$ through practice — but you might as well do something interesting in the process.)